

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | F     | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/024,320                                |       | 12/18/2001 | Shinichi Tsumori     | M2047-35               | 6688             |
| 7278                                      | 7590  | 11/08/2005 |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| DARBY &                                   | DARBY | P.C.       | PEZZLO, JOHN         |                        |                  |
| P. O. BOX 5257<br>NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257 |       |            |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   | ,     |            |                      | 2662                   |                  |
|   |       |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/08/200 | 5 .              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | ιK   |   |          |
|---|--|---|----------|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |          |
|   | 10/024,320   | TSUMORI ET AL.  |          |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |          |
|   | John Pezzlo  | 2662  |          |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence addres  | :s       |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMU<br>1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may<br>iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M<br>tute, cause the application to become | NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |
| Status  |  |   |          |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |   |          |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | his action is non-final.   |   |          |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under  |  |   | erits is |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |          |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>  | drawn from consideration.  |   |          |
| Application Papers  |  |   |          |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam   |  |   |          |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 in Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |   | г.       |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con  | • ,  | ,   | 121(d)   |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | •  |   |          |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |          |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in<br>priority documents have be<br>reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                 | n Application No<br>en received in this National Sta  | ge       |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/03, 3/19/02.  | Paper I  | w Summary (PTO-413)<br>No(s)/Mail Date<br>of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152                         | 2)       |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- I. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoichi et al. (JP 2000-209228) hereinafter Yoichi in view of Subbiah et al., "User Multiplexing in RTP payload between IP Telephony Gateways" hereinafter Subbiah.
- 1. Regarding claims 1 and 10 and 19 Yoichi discloses a multiplexing means, refer to Figure 2 callout 3 and page 2 paragraph [0011].

Yoichi discloses said multiplexing means including means for sending to an IP network a multiplexed packet, refer to Figure 2 and page 2 paragraphs [0011] to [0017].

Yoichi discloses said multiplexed packet including a plurality of IP packets from terminals which are under command of said multiplexing means, refer to Figure 3 and page 2 paragraphs [0018] and [0019].

Yoichi discloses fragmentation means (demultiplexer, Figure 2 callout 8) for fragmenting said multiplexed packet received through said IP network into a plurality of IP packets and for

transferring said plurality of IP packets to terminals which are under command of said fragmentation means, refer to Figures 2 and 3 and page 2 paragraphs [0020] and [0021].

Yoichi does not expressly disclose said multiplexing means including means for acquiring, with respect to a destination terminal, an identifier of said destination terminal and an IP address of a fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, and a beginning of said multiplexed packet including said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, and said multiplexed packet includes said identifier of said destination terminal and a portion removing an IP header from an IP packet and said identifier is smaller than that of said IP header.

Subbiah discloses multiplexing multiple short IP packets into a longer IP packet and replacing the IP header with an ID code (CID) wherein the CID is shorter than the IP header, refer to pages 2 and 3 sections 2 and 2.1.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to combine Yoichi with Subbiah to provide said multiplexing means including means for acquiring, with respect to a destination terminal, an identifier of said destination terminal and an IP address of a fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, and a beginning of said multiplexed packet including said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, and said multiplexed packet includes said identifier of said destination terminal and a portion removing an IP header from an IP packet and said identifier is smaller than that of said IP header. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Yoichi discloses a fixed length header, callout 21 in Figure 3, refer to page 2 paragraph [0021], and providing multiplexing of short IP packets to form longer packets. Therefore by providing CID

codes for each IP packet and replacing the IP header a more compact header is utilized, reducing the overhead of a long header and providing more throughput for additional multiplexing of IP packets.

2. Regarding claims 2 and 11 – Yoichi does not expressly disclose said multiplexing means including means for adding said identifier of said destination terminal after said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, and then for adding said portion removing said IP header from said IP packet, to thereby prepare said multiplexed packet.

Subbiah discloses multiplexing multiple short IP packets into a longer IP packet and replacing the IP header with an ID code (CID) wherein the CID is shorter than the IP header, refer to pages 2 and 3 sections 2 and 2.1.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to combine Yoichi with Subbiah to provide said multiplexing means including means for adding said identifier of said destination terminal after said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, and then for adding said portion removing said IP header from said IP packet, to thereby prepare said multiplexed packet. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Yoichi discloses a fixed length header, callout 21 in Figure 3, refer to page 2 paragraph [0021], and providing multiplexing of short IP packets to form longer packets. Therefore by providing CID codes for each IP packet and replacing the IP header a more compact header is utilized, reducing the overhead of a long header and providing more throughput for additional multiplexing of IP packets.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,320 Page 5

Art Unit: 2662

3. Regarding claims 3 and 12 - Yoichi discloses said multiplexing means terminates preparation of a multiplexed packet after a predetermined period of time has elapsed, refer to page 2 paragraphs [0011] and [0012].

- 4. Regarding claims 4 and 5 and 13 and 14 Yoichi discloses said multiplexing means terminates preparation of a multiplexed packet when a record length of said multiplexed packet being prepared exceeds a predetermined length, refer to page 2 paragraphs [0011] and [0012].
- 5. Regarding claims 6, 7, 8, 9, 15, 16, 17, and 18 Yoichi does not expressly disclose a multiplexing reference table in said multiplexing means, said multiplexing reference table associates, with respect to said destination terminal, an IP address of said destination terminal, an identifier of said destination terminal and said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command; said multiplexing means, using said multiplexing reference table, includes means for acquiring said identifier of said destination terminal and said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, based on said IP address of said destination terminal.

Subbiah discloses a multiplexing reference table in said multiplexing means, said
multiplexing reference table associates, with respect to said destination terminal, an IP address of
said destination terminal, an identifier of said destination terminal and said IP address of said
fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command; said multiplexing
means, using said multiplexing reference table, includes means for acquiring said identifier of
said destination terminal and said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said

destination terminal under its command, based on said IP address of said destination terminal, refer to page 6 section 3.1 and Table 1.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to an ordinary person of skill in the art to combine Yoichi with Subbiah to provide a multiplexing reference table in said multiplexing means, said multiplexing reference table associates, with respect to said destination terminal, an IP address of said destination terminal, an identifier of said destination terminal and said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command; said multiplexing means, using said multiplexing reference table, includes means for acquiring said identifier of said destination terminal and said IP address of said fragmentation means which has said destination terminal under its command, based on said IP address of said destination terminal.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that routing tables are needed to associate source and destination terminal information, in order to route a packet from the source to the destination and Yoichi discloses routing IP packets which have been multiplexed.

Therefore, the benefit of utilizing a multiplexing reference table is a compact way of associating the CID with the terminal and the source gateway router, which has responsibility for routing the packet from the source terminal to the destination gateway.

Yoichi does not expressly disclose a fragmentation (demultiplexer) reference table in said fragmentation means, said fragmentation means, using said fragmentation reference table includes means for associating, with respect to a terminal which is under command of said fragmentation means, an IP address of said terminal, an identifier of said terminal and said IP address of said fragmentation means, and using said fragmentation reference table and based on

an identifier contained in said multiplexed packet which is received, said fragmentation means includes means for acquiring an IP address of a corresponding terminal, and for preparing and transferring an IP packet to the destination terminal via the destination gateway.

Subbiah discloses a fragmentation (demultiplexer) reference table in said fragmentation means, said fragmentation means, using said fragmentation reference table includes means for associating, with respect to a terminal which is under command of said fragmentation means, an IP address of said terminal, an identifier of said terminal and said IP address of said fragmentation means, and using said fragmentation reference table and based on an identifier contained in said multiplexed packet which is received, said fragmentation means includes means for acquiring an IP address of a corresponding terminal, and for preparing and transferring an IP packet, refer to page 6 section 3.1 and Table 1.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that routing tables are needed to associate source and destination terminal information, in order to route a packet from the source to the destination and Yoichi discloses routing IP packets which have been multiplexed.

Therefore, the benefit of utilizing a demultiplexing reference table in the destination gateway is a compact way of associating the CID with the terminal and the router, which has responsibility for routing the packet to the destination terminal.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,320 Page 8

Art Unit: 2662

1. Hara et al. (US 6,560,221 B1) discloses a communication path control device,

communication path control method, and communication path control unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Pezzlo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C.

or faxed to:

(571) 272-8300

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Jefferson Building

2A15

500 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA, 22313.

John Pezzlo

6 October 2005

JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER